SAPC 20434 Cy 2 of 6

18 October 1957

MEMORANDUM TO: PROJECT DIRECTOR		
SUBJECT : Follow-up Action on Accident	Ł	25X1
REFERENCE : (IN 27248) dated 1 October 195	7	
1. In response to your query of 17 October concerning accident, the actions in chronological order have been taken:		25X1
a. On 30 September, transmitted 27184) to all detachments. This contained a brief sur the accident with certain recommendations that the detachment act on pending further examination.		25X1
b. On 1 October, Detachment A transmitted which in brief took exception to the recommendations.	especially	25X1
as to change in air speed schedule as recommended in		25X1
c. On 2 October, in response to mitted (In 27308) amouncing procurement of a new sealed microswitch which was being placed in test and the air speed restriction recommendation which should until new switches were received.	repeating	25X1
d. On 3 October, Headquarters transmitted  69216) which gave a complete story of the accident as  from  21 Of note is the fact that Lockheed feels the prime		25X1

- 2. Of note is the fact that Lockheed feels the primary cause to be grounding within the flap microswitch. The sequence presumed to have occurred is that when flaps were shifted from the gust to the faired position that the grounding or shorting across within the switch completed the circuit for lowering the flaps with subsequent tail over-lead and failure.
- 3. I take no exception to this line of reasoning. However, this same sequence could have occurred had the flap switch been in the down position at the time the flaps were shifted. The circuit is so wired that with the gust control in the shifted (or gust) position the flap switch has no effect. However, when the gust control is shifted to the faired position, the flaps seek the position of the flap switch.

**USAF** review(s) completed.

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/09/29 CIA-RDP33-02415A000200230004-9

25X1

25X1

- 2 -

and without realizing it, bumped the flap switch to the down position sometime during his climb and after shifting to the gust position.	25X
The flap switch is located alongside and outboard of the throttle and according to can be reached and easily moved with the	
little finger.	
5. Lockheed also recommends shifting back to faired position not above 130 knots or 30,000 reet. This is in direct conflict with	
the recommendation following accident. At that time it was recommended that flaps be left in shifted position until 55,000 feet as it was thought possible turbulence was the cause. This, of course,	25X <sup>2</sup>
is inconsistent but not considered dangerous as an interim measure until the new switches are installed. The pilot can always shift to	
gust position if he encounters turbulence.	
6. I am sending a cable out to all detachments concurring with Lockheed's interim recommendations and fixes and also recommending as standard procedure for pilots to first check flap switch for neutral position and then to menitor flap indicator when gust control is shifted to faired position. I will also send a query to Lockheed as to the feasibility of a design charge which would preclude inadvertent lowering of wing flaps.	
7. Pending a reply from Lockheed, other actions as outlined above are considered adequate.	
	25X <sup>-</sup>
Acting Director of Operations	
PCS/DCI	
Dist.: Cy l - addressee	
Cy 2 - DD/Proj	

Approved For Release 2003/09/29 : GIA-RDP33-02415A000200230004-9